

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 26 June 1998  
Name of applicant/court: Secretary for Internal Affairs  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: Seventeen Anal Teenagers  
Other Known Titles: Not Stated  
Director: Not Applicable  
Producer: Not Applicable  
Publisher: Not Stated  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Video Slick  
Country of Origin: Not Stated  
Language: English, Dutch

.....  
**Components of film originally examined:**

|           |                |                     |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|
| Feature:  | Not Applicable | Running time:       |
| Trailers: |                | Running time:       |
|           |                | Total Running time: |

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Not Approved.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

... Please turn over

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on Not Applicable

.....

#### **SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The approval of the video slick entitled *Seventeen Anal Teenagers* has been reconsidered and is altered. It is now:

Not Approved.

The decision affecting the availability of this slick has been altered because if the slick was submitted under s13(1) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act) it would be likely to be classified under s23 of the FVPC Act as objectionable.

The video slick contains a strong correlation between its title and the depictions of male to female sexual activity which suggests that the ages of the participants on the video slick and in the associated video recording are teenagers. This is in spite of the fact that the ages of the participants is unable to be determined. Such a correlation is supported by the text on the back which refers to teenagers engaged in sexual activity. Given that the purpose of the slick is to entice viewers of video recordings to view this particular one, the video slick promotes and supports the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes and therefore contravenes s3(2)(a) of the FVPC Act by the focus on teenagers and their youth. It promotes and supports this activity by suggesting that sexual activity involving teenagers is a legitimate practice and that the viewing of video recordings featuring this practice is a normative form of behaviour.

Section 3(2) of the FVPC Act overrides s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act) as it is a deeming provision. Section 3(2) accordingly takes precedence and no further consideration has been given to the NZBR Act.

OFLC Ref: 9800157